UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/530,179	04/04/2005	Kenji Ito	Q86608	5585
23373 SUGHRUE MI	7590 07/02/200 ON, PLLC	EXAMINER		
2100 PENNSY	LVANIA AVENUE, N	MRUK, BRIAN P		
SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1796	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/02/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/530,179	ITO ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Brian P. Mruk	1796	

	Brian P. Mruk	1796
The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	rs on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
THE REPLY FILED <u>25 June 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL	ICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the application, applicant must timely file one of the following reapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appear for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CF periods:	ne same day as filing a Notice of A plies: (1) an amendment, affidavit Il (with appeal fee) in compliance v	Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this it, or other evidence, which places the with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of this Adrono event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire late Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). 	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth i er than SIX MONTHS from the mailing). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exte under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sh set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later the may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	nsion and the corresponding amount cortened statutory period for reply origin	of the fee. The appropriate extension fee chally set in the final Office action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complia filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extens Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but (a) They raise new issues that would require further cons (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better appeal; and/or	sideration and/or search (see NOT);	E below);
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.11)	6 and 41.33(a)).	
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): _ 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allo 		,
non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-16. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		be entered and an explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	sufficient reasons why the affidavi	t or other evidence is necessary and
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to ove showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary a 	ercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fails to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	of the status of the claims after en	try is below or attached.
 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but a Applicant's remarks and arguments dated June 25, 2008 amendment, and thus, are rendered moot, since the properties. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (F 	are written in view of the claims as osed amendments have not been	they appear in the proposed
13. Other:		
	/Brian P Mruk/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 1796

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Narrowing the scope of claims 1, 5 and 6 raises new issues that would require further search and consideration.